# RETHINKING AFFORDABLE HOUSING SOLUTIONS FOR LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES AS A PATHWAY TO SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA

**Ms. Fatima Baba Mohammed**
Senior Research Fellow
DevAfrica Research Institute
Email: fatima@devafricainstitute.org

**Abstract**
Africa's housing dilemma stands at the crossroads of rapid urbanization, economic expansion, and deepening inequality. Despite hosting some of the world's fastest-growing cities, the continent faces an estimated deficit of over 50 million housing units, with Nigeria alone accounting for 22 million (CAHF, 2023). As urban populations are projected to double by 2050 to exceed 1.5 billion people (UN DESA, 2022), more than 60% of city dwellers already reside in informal settlements that perpetuate poverty, health risks, and climate vulnerability. This chapter reimagines affordable housing not merely as a physical necessity but as a strategic instrument for sustainable transformation—a driver of equity, resilience, and inclusive prosperity. Through conceptual, theoretical, and empirical lenses, the study interrogates how innovative financing mechanisms, community-led models, and green construction technologies can reposition Africa's housing challenge as a platform for sustainable growth and social renewal.

Empirical insights from Ethiopia, Kenya, and Nigeria reveal that investment in affordable housing generates far-reaching socio-economic dividends. Ethiopia's Integrated Housing Development Programme has created over 200,000 jobs, while Nigeria's Family Homes Funds demonstrates how social housing simultaneously advances poverty reduction and SME growth. Yet, systemic constraints—weak land governance, mortgage penetration rates below 5% across most Sub-Saharan economies, and institutional fragmentation—continue to impede progress. The chapter contends that rethinking affordability requires embedding green finance, inclusive land reforms, and circular construction systems within Africa's urban frameworks.

This study argues that affordable housing is a catalyst for resilient cities, equitable economies, and intergenerational justice. Anchored in Agenda 2063 and aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 1, 3, 5, 11, and 13), the chapter advances a transformative vision where housing policy becomes a fulcrum for climate adaptation, social inclusion, and sustainable urban futures.

**Keywords:** *Affordable Housing, Housing Deficit, Institutional Reform, Land Governance, Africa*

## Introduction

Housing continues to be one of the essential pillars of human welfare, serving as a basis for livelihoods, social integration, and economic prospects. It is not just a physical space for protection but a complex resource that influences health, educational success, safety, and enduring economic advancement (UN-Habitat, 2020). In Africa, as urban populations expand at extraordinary rates, housing has emerged as both a critical issue and a strategic chance for fostering sustainable development.

The housing crisis across the continent is characterized by its intensity and magnitude. The Centre for Affordable Housing Finance in Africa (CAHF, 2023) reports that the continent has a shortfall of over 50 million housing units, with Nigeria representing more than 22 million of this total. Urbanization is amplifying this demand, the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA, 2022) forecasts that Africa's urban population will increase twofold by 2050, exceeding 1.5 billion individuals. Over 60% of city dwellers already live in informal settlements (UN-Habitat, 2022), highlighting the significant consequences. The growth of slums not only deteriorates living conditions but also reinforces cycles of poverty, intensifies inequality, and heightens susceptibility to climate and health crises.

The gap in affordability is one of the significant obstacles to suitable housing. In numerous African cities, the price of a basic formal home is several times greater than the yearly earnings of a low-income family. In Kenya, the cost of a basic unit in the formal sector is approximated at USD 20,000, whereas the yearly income for the lowest 40% of households is below USD 2,000 (Cytonn Investments, 2023). Mortgage markets continue to be limited, exhibiting penetration rates under 5% in the majority of sub-Saharan Africa (World Bank, 2021). Consequently, most households depend on gradual self-building, leading to low-quality, unsafe, and unregulated housing (CAHF, 2023).

The impacts reach beyond housing. Housing is closely linked to several aspects of sustainable development. It is established in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), specifically SDG 11: "Ensure that cities and human settlements are inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable" (United Nations, 2015). Nonetheless, housing is also associated with SDG 1 regarding poverty elimination, SDG 3 focused on health and well-being, SDG 5 concerning gender equality, and SDG 13 related to climate action. Insufficient housing results in overcrowding, poor sanitation, and vulnerability to environmental risks, which subsequently contribute to health issues like respiratory diseases, waterborne infections, and mental health strain (WHO, 2021). On the other hand, affordable and sustainable housing can serve as a catalyst, decreasing poverty, increasing productivity, and promoting climate resilience (UMDF, 2022).

At the policy level, affordable housing has become increasingly significant in Africa's overall development strategies. The African Union's Agenda 2063 outlines a vision for "inclusive growth and sustainable development," emphasizing that adequate housing is essential for social equity (African Union Commission, 2015). Likewise, the African Development Bank (UMDF, 2022) has recognized housing finance as an essential area for promoting inclusive growth, generating jobs, and enhancing industrial competitiveness. However, in spite of the acknowledgment, execution continues to be disjointed, as insufficient land governance, restricted financing options, and inadequate involvement from the private sector hinder advancement (World Bank, 2021).

Housing has been acknowledged worldwide as a human right, established in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR, 1966), which affirms that everyone has the right to an adequate standard of living, encompassing housing. For Africa, this ethical and legal obligation is intensified by the continent's demographic path. With over 60% of Africans being younger than 25, the need for affordable housing involves not just tackling the current shortfall but also getting ready for future generations (UN DESA, 2022).

Additionally, the housing sector connects with wider macroeconomic progress. The construction sector is a significant employer, especially for low- and semi-skilled workers. Increasing affordable housing can hence support job creation, local material manufacturing, and domestic value chains. For instance, Ethiopia's Integrated Housing Development Programme has generated more than 200,000 employment opportunities in construction and related sectors(UN-Habitat, 2014), while also tackling housing shortages. In the same vein, Nigeria's Family Homes Funds connects the provision of affordable housing with generating employment and supporting small businesses (Family Home Funds, 2021), highlighting the sector's potential as an economic driver.

The housing crisis cannot be separated from climate change. African urban areas are currently experiencing increasing dangers from flooding, heat stress, and various climate-related threats (IPCC, 2022). Badly constructed informal settlements face these risks more than others, frequently situated in flood-prone areas, coastal regions, or hazardous urban outskirts. Sustainable housing solutions that utilize energy efficiency, durable materials, and inclusive urban planning are thus essential for adapting to climate change. Incorporating green building methods, renewable energy sources, and local materials into affordable housing can lower environmental impacts while also improving resilience for at-risk communities (World Bank, 2022).

Despite these opportunities, difficulties remain deeply rooted. Weak land tenure arrangements, speculative real estate markets, restricted access to long-term financing, and gaps in institutional capacity persist in obstructing advancement (CAHF, 2023). Cultural and socio-political factors, such as opposition to urban density increases and discriminatory zoning practices, make housing provision more challenging (UN-Habitat, 2022). These difficulties highlight the necessity for innovative solutions that integrate policy changes, financial advancements, and community driven models.

This chapter establishes affordable housing as a fundamental element of sustainable development in Africa. It explores the housing dilemma through conceptual, theoretical, and empirical perspectives, positioning housing not only as a technical or economic problem but as a complex catalyst for equity, resilience, and human advancement. Utilizing case studies from Ethiopia, Kenya, Nigeria, and other global examples, it showcases the challenges and the prospects in providing affordable housing on a large scale.

By integrating conceptual insights, theoretical viewpoints, empirical evidence, and practical experiences, the chapter adds to an expanding body of research that regards housing as a vital development necessity. It contends that tackling Africa's housing issues demands both technical approaches and systemic transformation anchored in equity, resilience, and inclusiveness. In this manner, affordable housing evolves into not only a societal benefit but also a transformative plan for the continent's sustainable urban future.

## Conceptual Framework

In the African context, housing is more than just a physical entity, it is a complex good that intertwines with matters of affordability, sustainability, inclusivity, and citizenship. This chapter employs a conceptual framework that incorporates these aspects, placing affordable housing within the wider conversation of sustainable development and urban equity.

## Affordable Housing

Affordable housing is generally recognized as housing that fulfills basic requirements for adequacy, security, and livability without excessively straining household income. The United Nations characterizes affordable housing as housing that costs no more than 30% of a family's income (UN-Habitat, 2020). In Africa, the idea goes beyond affordability ratios to encompass service accessibility, secure land tenure, and resilience to socioeconomic challenges. For example, a low-income household residing in an informal settlement lacking legal land rights might confront eviction at any moment, making affordability irrelevant without tenure security.

In numerous African urban areas, the affordability disparity is intensified by systemic problems within the housing market. Formal housing projects typically focus on middle- and upper-income demographics, leaving most low-income earners unable to afford them (World Bank, 2021). Consequently, the overwhelming number of city dwellers depends on gradual self-building or informal leasing. In this context, affordable housing must be redefined not just in financial terms but also through social equity, ensuring that low-income individuals have access to homes that offer safety, dignity, and chances for upward mobility

**Housing and Sustainable Development**

The connections between housing and sustainable development are widely recognized. Sufficient housing is essential for achieving various Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially SDG 11, which aims to "create inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable cities and human settlements" (UN-Habitat, 2022). Apart from SDG 11, housing is linked to SDG 1 (no poverty), SDG 3 (good health and well-being), SDG 5 (gender equality), and SDG 13 (climate action).

From a sustainability viewpoint, housing encompasses not only provision but also quality, resilience, and environmental integration. Badly constructed communities frequently do not have access to safe water, sanitation, and energy, reinforcing cycles of poverty and illness. Simultaneously, housing consumes considerable natural resources and contributes to carbon emissions. Sustainable housing in Africa should, therefore, incorporate energy efficiency, environmentally friendly construction methods, and climate-resilient materials. For instance, bamboo-based construction and compressed earth blocks have demonstrated promise in lowering environmental impacts while being cost-effective (UN-Habitat, 2020).

Sustainability includes both economic and social aspects. Low-cost housing fosters local economic growth by boosting construction sectors, generating employment, and establishing domestic value chains. It encourages inclusion, diminishes inequalities, and nurtures stable, unified communities. Consequently, viewing housing as a fundamental component of sustainable development transforms it from a sectoral issue into a driving force for development (CAHF, 2023).

**Inclusivity and Accessibility**

Inclusiveness is a fundamental aspect of the housing discussion. Housing extends beyond mere physical shelter, it encompasses access to urban opportunities such as transportation, education, healthcare, and job prospects. In Africa, patterns of urban growth that exclude certain populations frequently push low-income communities to outskirts, distant from employment and services, resulting in elevated commuting expenses and restricted mobility (World Bank, 2021).

As a result, inclusive housing strategies focus on affordability along with site selection. A home might be affordable in terms of finances but can still lead to social exclusion if it is located far from economic and social resources. Ideas of accessibility emphasize the significance of closeness, connectivity, and incorporation into urban systems. Furthermore, inclusivity necessitates that housing policies focus on vulnerable populations, such as women, individuals with disabilities, and migrants, who encounter multiple obstacles in securing suitable housing.

The concept of universal design is especially pertinent in this context. By making certain that housing and urban areas are accessible to everyone including individuals with mobility challenges, seniors, and children. Communities foster environments that are fairer and more sustainable. In Africa, where the estimated prevalence of disability exceeds 15% of the population, incorporating universal design into affordable housing represents both a rights-based and practical solution (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022).

**The Right to the City**

The concept of the Right to the City, defined by Henri Lefebvre (1968/1996) and further developed by David Harvey (2012), offers an important perspective for understanding housing in Africa. The right to the city encompasses not just personal rights to housing but also communal rights to engage in the development of urban areas (United Cities and Local Governments [UCLG], 2019). It underscores that every city resident, irrespective of their financial status, has the right to access, live in, and shape the urban environment. (Pieterse & Simone, 2020).

In African environments, where informal settlements frequently face stigma and are left out of formal planning, the right to the city confronts exclusionary policies and promotes inclusive urban governance. Affordable housing, when viewed through this lens, is not merely a product but a social right and a pathway to complete urban citizenship. This suggests that authorities must safeguard low-income communities from being displaced and make certain that urban growth does not worsen inequality (Harvey, 2012; Lefebvre, 1968/1996).

**Incorporating the Framework**

Combining these ideas, this chapter utilizes a framework that perceives affordable housing as:

- A multifaceted asset (affordably obtainable, socially equitable, ecologically sustainable).
- A human right (based on international agreements like the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1966).
- A catalyst for development (directly connecting to several SDGs and Africa's Agenda 2063).

By placing affordable housing within these overlapping discussions, the framework offers a comprehensive foundation for examining Africa's housing emergency. It emphasizes that solutions need to extend past limited cost-focused definitions and adopt comprehensive methods that incorporate social equity, environmental sustainability, and urban citizenship.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the conceptual framework illustrates that affordable housing in Africa should be viewed beyond limited financial measures. It combines affordability, sustainability, inclusivity, and the right to the city as interrelated aspects that influence access and equity. By placing housing within these discussions, the framework emphasizes its significance as a complex asset, a fundamental right, and a driver for development, laying the groundwork for the subsequent theoretical analysis

**Theoretical Review**

Understanding Africa's affordable housing issue necessitates utilizing theoretical frameworks that clarify the reasons for ongoing deficits and how solutions can be directed. Four essential viewpoints; capability approach, theories of justice, informal and self-help theories, and political economy provide strong frameworks for analysis.

**Capability Approach and Human Development**

Amartya Sen's Capability Approach (1999) focuses on the genuine freedoms and possibilities individuals have to lead lives they value. Housing is vital for attaining fundamental capabilities like health, safety, and education. In African settings, informal settlements frequently lack services and subject inhabitants to risks, leading to increased housing deficiencies that exacerbate deprivation (Sen, 1999).
Current studies back this perspective. For example, agent-based modeling frameworks currently utilize the capability approach to simulate homelessness policy formulation in development scenarios, highlighting the ongoing significance of Sen's framework in housing justice.

**Theories of Social Justice and The Rights to the City**

Theories of justice emphasize housing as an issue of equity. Rawls's (1971) justice as fairness requires that social goods be distributed to benefit the least advantaged. Policies for affordable housing should therefore focus on marginalized communities.
The concept of the right to the city, proposed by Lefebvre (1968/1996) and expanded upon by Harvey (2012), frames housing as a shared right rather than a market product. Recent critiques of institutions in Ghana from a postcolonial perspective reveal that housing injustices are fundamentally linked to political power and historical inequalities, instead of merely being due to market failure (Ampofo et al., 2024). These analyses resonate with Harvey's appeal for fair urban rights.

**Informality, Self-Help, and Adaptation**
John Turner's (1976) self-help housing model emphasizes how household-driven homebuilding evolves incrementally out of necessity and shows practical adaptability. Recent literature still acknowledges the strengths of this model.

A 2024 research in South Africa shows that self-help housing is empowering but hindered by insufficient skills, the exclusion of at-risk groups, and misalignment with policies (Qumbisa, Emuze, & Smallwood, 2024). Likewise, the urban impoverished in Lagos, Nigeria, depend on informal housing methods like microfinance, cooperative groups, and rentals to address their shelter requirements (Ampofo et al., 2024). These studies validate that self-help processes demonstrate both individual agency and systemic constraints.

**Political Economy of Housing**
Housing cannot be comprehended independently from larger frameworks of capital and governance. Neoliberal approaches have led to state withdrawal, commercialization, and exclusion from official systems.

A 2023 study in International Political Economy presents "institutional hybridity" to illustrate how postcolonial nations concurrently handle informal housing via clientelist tolerance and repression, further entrenching exclusion (Khan, 2023). In Uganda, a study of Kisekka Market in Kampala reveals how informal areas serve as places of both wealth generation and loss, sustained by neoliberal accumulation processes (Young, 2021).

Moreover, housing microfinance as an informal financial solution has swiftly grown throughout Africa, filling voids where conventional mortgages fall short (Goodfellow & Özogul, 2023). Though this shows flexibility, it also reveals underlying problems in housing finance systems.

**Integrating Perspectives: A Pluralist Framework**
Collectively, these theories provide a deep, complex insight:

- The capability approach highlights housing as essential for human dignity and freedoms.
- The theory of justice emphasizes equitable distribution and fair access.
- Perspectives on self-help and informality acknowledge adaptive strategies, even in the face of exclusion.
- A critique of political economy exposes how neoliberal frameworks sustain inequality.

In a recent IMF feature, Rust (2024) highlights that informal housing systems despite being inefficient are flexible and function as crucial economic and spatial strategies. Casual builders utilize mobile technologies, blockchain property records, and remittances to function beyond official markets, showcasing both creativity and need.

Therefore, a pluralistic theoretical framework exposes housing issues as not merely technical difficulties but as ethical, systemic, and profoundly political crises. It provides a fundamental perspective for policies and practices in African housing systems emphasizing the necessity for solutions that enhance capacities, redistribute resources, respect agency, and eradicate structural obstacles.

**Conclusion**

Collectively, the theoretical viewpoints demonstrate that Africa's housing crisis is not just a technical problem but a systemic challenge grounded in justice, agency, and political economy. The capability approach emphasizes dignity and liberty; justice theories focus on fairness and inclusion; self-help frameworks promote adaptability; whereas critiques from political economy reveal systemic inequalities. This pluralistic perspective indicates that sustainable solutions should strengthen capacities, redistribute resources, honor community agency, and tackle structural obstacles, preparing the groundwork for empirical evidence.

**Empirical Review**

Research on affordable housing in Africa shows a complicated interaction of demand, supply, policy, finance, and informality. This review utilizes studies and reports released since 2020 to present an extensive overview of the housing situation throughout the continent. Four main areas are highlighted: housing needs and shortages, informal housing trends, policy and institutional structures, and new developments in finance and building.

**Housing Demand and Deficits**

Africa's population is expected to nearly double by 2050, with urban areas increasing at an annual rate of 3.5%, the highest globally (UN-Habitat, 2022). This population trend leads to unparalleled demand for housing. Recent estimates indicate a shortfall of 51 million housing units throughout the continent, with Nigeria responsible for 28 million of that total (Centre for Affordable Housing Finance in Africa [CAHF], 2023).

Empirical evidence reveals significant discrepancies in affordability. In Kenya, under 2% of officially built units are accessible to low- and lower-middle-income families (CAHF, 2022). A World Bank report from 2023 indicated that more than 80% of urban households in Sub-Saharan Africa are unable to afford housing financed by mortgages, considering the average house prices in relation to incomes (World Bank, 2023).

These deficiencies are not just numerical but also qualitative. In nations like Ethiopia, Tanzania, and Ghana, low construction standards, restricted access to infrastructure, and insufficient service delivery threaten housing adequacy. Research consistently indicates that despite a rise in housing supply, issues of affordability and quality persist unresolved (Kamana, Radoine, & Nyasulu, 2023).

### Informal Housing and Incremental Development

Informality prevails in African housing structures. Over 60% of urban households live in informal settlements, with nations such as Mozambique, Sudan, and Sierra Leone seeing figures surpassing 70% (UN-Habitat, 2022).

Recent empirical research supports John Turner's (1976) assertion that self-help and incremental housing are not failures but logical reactions to exclusion from official systems. In Accra, Ghana, Ampofo et al. (2024) report that informal settlements arise due to land tenure instability, restrictive planning, and elevated formal housing expenses. Their comprehensive review indicates that informal housing continues to be a lasting and flexible solution, though it comes with compromises in safety and facilities.

Beneficiary surveys of government-assisted self-help housing initiatives in South Africa revealed that incremental housing fostered a sense of agency but marginalized vulnerable populations like the elderly and individuals with disabilities (Qumbisa, Emuze, & Smallwood, 2024).
Research indicates that in Nigeria, informal housing is the main shelter choice for low-income families in Lagos, with incremental financing facilitated by rotating savings groups, microloans, and remittances from the diaspora (Rust, 2024). These results demonstrate the mixed nature of African housing markets, where informal and formal systems coexist and rely on one another.

### Policy and Institutional Frameworks

Policy strategies have differed among African nations, yet the majority encounter obstacles in expanding implementation. Kenya's "Big Four Agenda" set a goal to create 500,000 affordable housing units from 2018 to 2022, yet less than 10% of this goal was met (CAHF, 2023). Research identifies this disparity as stemming from financial obstacles, corruption, and poor coordination between central government and county-level execution (The Conversation, 2021).

In Nigeria, the National Housing Policy (2021) still highlights the importance of public-private partnerships (PPPs). Nonetheless, proof indicates that these primarily advantage middle-income earners, with minimal benefits reaching the urban poor (Owotemu, Daniel, & Abubakar, 2022).

South Africa's Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) continues to be one of the largest housing initiatives on the continent, providing more than 3 million units since 1994. However, recent evaluations indicate a drop in quality, inadequate maintenance, and sluggish delivery (Scheba & Turok, 2020).

Additionally, RDP housing frequently places beneficiaries in outlying areas, exacerbating spatial segregation.

Weaknesses within institutions also significantly contribute. Obstacles in land administration, poor enforcement of planning regulations, and corruption disrupt housing markets. A 2023 study on International Political Economy emphasizes that the postcolonial "hybridity" of institutions in African nations leads to conflicting policies allowing informality while also suppressing it (Khan, 2023).

**Housing Finance and Affordability**

Empirical data shows that the lack of access to affordable housing financing is the primary obstacle for households with low to moderate incomes. In Sub-Saharan Africa, mortgage penetration is under 5% of GDP, while advanced economies see rates of 20–80% (World Bank, 2023).

New financial solutions are emerging. Housing microfinance has expanded in nations like Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania, providing small loans for gradual building. CAHF (2023) indicates that microfinance better matches the income streams of low-income households compared to mortgages. Nonetheless, loan amounts are limited, and interest rates continue to be elevated.

Emerging digital platforms demonstrate potential as well. In Nigeria, fintech companies are offering different credit scoring systems to improve access to housing finance, while Ghana is testing blockchain land registries to strengthen tenure security (Rust, 2024).

However, empirical research warns that in the absence of regulatory frameworks and financial support, such innovations may leave the most impoverished households behind. Financing approaches need to be combined with wider social housing policies to guarantee inclusivity.

**Construction Innovation and Sustainability**

The building industry is evolving with innovative technologies and materials to sustainably address housing demands. A study in Rwanda from 2022 showed that employing compressed stabilized earth blocks (CSEBs) led to a 25% cost reduction and a 40% decrease in carbon emissions when compared to traditional cement blocks (Global Green Growth Institute [GGGI], 2020). Likewise, bamboo construction initiatives in Ethiopia are being evaluated as scalable, affordable, and climate-resilient options (Habitat for Humanity, 2021).

Nonetheless, practical evidence indicates that uptake is sluggish due to minimal awareness, significant initial costs, and opposition from developers (UN-Habitat, 2022). Closing this gap necessitates robust policy incentives and platforms for sharing knowledge.

**Synthesis of Regional Patterns**

Empirical studies reveal striking variations in housing dynamics across Africa's regions, yet they also expose shared vulnerabilities. In West Africa, particularly Nigeria and Ghana, chronic shortages of housing finance force households to rely heavily on informal construction, with diaspora remittances playing a decisive role in sustaining shelter provision (CAHF, 2023). East Africa presents a different trajectory, where Kenya, Tanzania, and Rwanda experiment with public–private partnerships and microfinance. Yet even with such innovations, affordability remains elusive for the majority (The Conversation, 2021).

In Southern Africa, South Africa's Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) stands as one of the continent's most extensive housing initiatives. Still, its legacy is tempered by persistent questions of quality, equity, and long-term sustainability (Scheba & Turok, 2020). Meanwhile, North Africa, led by Morocco and Egypt, has pioneered state-backed social housing, though critics argue these schemes fall short in reaching the poorest (World Bank, 2023).

Across these diverse landscapes, structural affordability gaps, dependence on informality, and constrained institutional capacity remain common threads shaping Africa's housing challenge. The empirical data emphasizes a contradiction. Africa's housing systems are marked by shortages, exclusion, and institutional shortcomings. Conversely, they show resilience and adaptability via informal offerings, incremental funding, and creative building methods.

The literature indicates that housing in Africa represents a developmental challenge as well as a testing ground for innovation. Informality isn't just an indication of failure; it's an alternative system that satisfies needs in ways that formal arrangements cannot. However, in the absence of governmental action, inequality continues, and at-risk populations are neglected.

Consequently, empirical research verifies the necessity for mixed housing approaches that integrate, Reforming policies (to tackle issues related to land, finance, and governance), support for informal systems (incremental housing, microfinance, tenure stabilization) merging with creativity (eco-friendly building, online networks).

The empirical research indicates that although African housing systems experience shortages, exclusion, and fragile institutions, they also demonstrate resilience and innovation. Unplanned housing, small loans, and gradual building reflect community resilience, while new technologies and eco-friendly materials reveal potential for expandable answers. Nevertheless, ongoing disparities in affordability, finance, and governance emphasize the importance of unified strategies that merge policy changes, backing for informal systems, and innovation. These results offer background for the Nigeria, Kenya, and South Africa case studies.

## Case Analysis and Discussion

The housing crisis across Africa is not a uniform issue. Although structural factors like fast urban growth, poverty, and poor governance are common, the housing outcomes in each country are influenced differently by its specific institutional, political, and economic context. This section examines three important case studies Nigeria, Kenya, and South Africa extracting insights for the entire continent.

### Nigeria: The Paradox of Abundance and Deficit

Nigeria features one of the most contradictory housing scenarios in Africa. Facing an estimated housing shortfall of 28 million units, it has one of the biggest backlogs worldwide (CAHF, 2023). Nevertheless, Nigeria possesses extensive land, plentiful construction materials, and one of the largest construction industries in Africa.

#### *Institutional Fragmentation*

In Nigeria, housing provision is hindered by institutional disarray. Responsibilities are distributed among federal, state, and local governments, yet conflicting mandates and variable implementations lead to inefficiencies .The 2021 National Housing Policy highlighted public-private partnerships (PPPs), yet empirical research shows that these initiatives primarily serve middle- and high-income populations (Owotemu et al., 2022).

#### *Affordability Barriers*

Formal housing in Nigeria is beyond the financial reach of most people. Mortgage penetration continues to be under 1% of GDP, in contrast to 31% in South Africa (World Bank, 2023). Typical mortgage interest rates range from 18% to 22%, rendering them unaffordable for low-income families. As a result, more than 70% of city households depend on unofficial housing options (Rust, 2024).

### Informality, Resilience and Policy Lessons

In Lagos, more than two-thirds of the city's population live in informal settlements. Incremental building funded by rotating savings groups, cooperative organizations, and remittances from the diaspora is the primary approach (Opoko et al., 2020). In spite of unstable housing rights and the risk of eviction, informal housing arrangements exhibit resilience by supporting swift population increases. Nigeria's situation emphasizes the restrictions of market-driven PPPs and stresses the necessity for reform of land tenure to formalize informal settlements, growth of housing microfinance designed for gradual construction in a bid to enhance institutional collaboration among government levels.

### Kenya: Ambitious Agendas, Limited Delivery

Kenya has emerged as a key player in discussions about affordable housing because of its bold Affordable Housing Programme (AHP), a cornerstone of the "Big Four Agenda" initiated in 2018. The AHP aimed for 500,000 affordable units by 2022 but reached under 10% of that target (The Conversation, 2021).

### *The Potential of PPPs and Financing Constraints*

Kenya established PPPs as the main strategy for enhancing service delivery. Initiatives like the Park Road Ngara housing estate demonstrated innovative financing and delivery models, merging public land with private developer funding (CAHF, 2022). Nevertheless, although PPPs generated tangible pilot initiatives, they encountered issues related to funding, governmental red tape, and restricted affordability for low-income communities. The gap in affordability is especially significant in Kenya. CAHF (2023) indicates that merely 2% of newly constructed formal units are within reach for households earning under the median income. The mortgage-to-GDP ratio in the nation stays below 3%, and the typical loan amount significantly surpasses the ability of most households.

The Kenya Mortgage Refinance Company (KMRC), founded in 2018 with assistance from the World Bank, sought to enhance long-term housing financing. Although KMRC has refinanced a large number of loans, the uptake is still limited, with the majority of beneficiaries being middle-income earners (World Bank, 2023).

### *Informality with Urban Development and Policy Lessons*

Informal housing greatly predominates in Kenyan urban areas. In Nairobi, more than 60% of residents live in slums that take up under 10% of the land (UN-Habitat, 2022). Studies indicate that enhancement initiatives like the Kibera Slum Upgrading Programme have yielded varied outcomes, frequently displacing inhabitants or resulting in unaffordable housing. Kenya's experience showcases both the potential and challenges of bold national housing initiatives. It highlights the necessity to focus on subsidies, specifically on low-income populations, balance PPP-driven delivery with gradual enhancements while improving urban development to avoid speculative displacement

## South Africa: Scale without Equity

South Africa is frequently regarded as the most ambitious example of state-driven housing provision in Africa. Beginning in 1994, the Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) has provided over 3 million housing units, aiding around 20% of the population (Scheba & Turok, 2020). However, ongoing deficits and disparities still exist.

### *Achievements of Scale*

The magnitude of South Africa's distribution is unparalleled in Africa. Complete townships have been built, decreasing homelessness and noticeably enhancing housing availability for numerous individuals. South Africa is set apart from many counterparts by a robust institutional framework and ongoing government funding (Lemanski, 2020).

***Quality and Location Challenges***

Nonetheless, empirical research indicates major deficiencies. RDP houses are frequently constructed with substandard materials and insufficient services, resulting in what Scheba and Turok (2020) describe as a "quantity over quality" mindset. Additionally, RDP projects are often situated on the edges of cities, reinforcing apartheid-era spatial disparities by isolating the impoverished from economic prospects.

***Policy Innovation and Lessons***

Recent changes have highlighted enhancing informal settlements and advocating for social housing in more desirable locations. The Social Housing Regulatory Authority (SHRA) promotes rental solutions for low- to middle-income individuals; however, funding and provision are still constrained compared to the demand (CAHF, 2022). The South African experience highlights the need to balance housing quantity with quality, ensuring standards are not sacrificed for numbers. Equally vital is spatial fairness in site selection, where proximity to opportunities matters. Beyond ownership, embracing rental and social housing models broadens inclusivity and strengthens urban resilience.

**Table 5.1: Comparative Case Study Analysis on Affordable Housing**

| **Country** | **Key Strengths** | **Major Weaknesses** | **Dominant Provision Method** | **Policy Lessons** |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Nigeria** | Expanding construction sector; abundant land and materials; active informal financing through savings groups and remittances | Institutional fragmentation; mortgage penetration <1% of GDP; high interest rates (18–22%); weak tenure security | Predominantly self-help housing & incremental construction | Formalize informal settlements; expand housing microfinance; improve coordination across government tiers |
| **Kenya** | Ambitious Affordable Housing Programme (AHP); successful PPP pilots (e.g., Park Road Ngara); establishment of Kenya Mortgage Refinance Company (KMRC) | Affordable housing delivery target (500,000 units) fell short; majority of units inaccessible to low-income households; bureaucratic delays | PPP-driven delivery with persistent reliance on informal housing in slums | Balance PPP delivery with slum upgrading; introduce subsidies for low-income households; safeguard against displacement |

| Country | Key Strengths | Major Weaknesses | Dominant Provision Method | Policy Lessons |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **South Africa** | Large-scale state-led housing programme (RDP: >3 million units delivered); strong institutional frameworks; reliable public financing | Quantity prioritized over quality; peripheral housing locations reinforce spatial inequality; limited social and rental housing | State-led mass housing supplemented by limited social rental housing | Balance delivery scale with quality; prioritize spatial justice in housing allocation; expand social and rental housing programmes |

**Source:** Authors Construct, 2025

### Comparative Insights

An examination of the three national cases reveals both striking parallels and instructive differences in the dynamics of housing provision. What converges across Nigeria, Kenya, and South Africa is the enduring crisis of affordability. Formal housing markets remain skewed toward middle- and high-income earners, leaving the majority excluded from accessible options. Informality therefore continues to dominate as the primary mode of provision, reflecting both the ingenuity of households and the inability of formal systems to meet demand. At the same time, institutional weaknesses—whether fragmented governance in Nigeria, bureaucratic hurdles in Kenya, or entrenched spatial inequities in South Africa—consistently obstruct progress.

Yet the divergences are equally telling. Nigeria's housing challenge is largely institutional and financial, shaped by an underdeveloped mortgage system and divided governance structures that stall reform. Kenya demonstrates the limits of ambitious public–private partnerships, where lofty housing targets have faltered against the stubborn realities of affordability and weak implementation. South Africa, in contrast, shows that scale is attainable through extensive programs such as the RDP. However, questions of equity, quality, and integration remain unresolved, leaving many communities marginalized even within a high-volume delivery system.

Taken together, these patterns affirm that Africa's affordable housing crisis cannot be reduced to a technical problem of supply. It is, at its core, a deeply political and institutional challenge that demands both structural reform and visionary leadership.

**Insights For Policy And Practice**
The case studies reveal that meaningful progress in housing across Africa requires policy and practice to move beyond conventional prescriptions. Five powerful insights stand out, each shaping the contours of a more inclusive and resilient housing future.

First, housing finance must be recalibrated to the economic realities of households. Mortgages remain out of reach for the majority, making microfinance, affordable rental options, and support for incremental construction far more practical. Financing that grows with household incomes empowers families to build progressively without falling into debt traps.

Second, institutional coordination emerges as indispensable. Fragmented mandates and overlapping responsibilities among government agencies often breed inefficiency and wasted resources. Clear roles, effective collaboration, and accountability mechanisms are essential to streamline interventions and avoid the pitfalls of redundancy.

Third, the persistence of informality demands a shift in policy mindset. Rather than punishing informal builders and settlements, governments should enable gradual improvements, tenure security, and regularization processes that legitimize what already sustains millions. By transforming informality into a platform for innovation, housing systems can expand inclusively.

Fourth, the principle of spatial justice cannot be ignored. Housing is not just about numbers delivered; it is about proximity to jobs, transport, schools, and healthcare. Locating homes in isolation undermines livelihoods, while well-situated housing nurtures opportunity, dignity, and social cohesion.

Finally, hybrid approaches provide the most promising pathway. Blending public–private partnerships with targeted subsidies and the vitality of community-led systems creates a flexible architecture capable of addressing diverse needs. Such integration balances efficiency with equity, and innovation with accessibility.

Together, these insights chart a pragmatic yet imaginative direction on housing policy and practice that transcends silos and speaks directly to the lived realities of Africa's urban future. Essentially, a comparative analysis of the three cases reveals that, despite varying contexts, all nations encounter affordability challenges, institutional shortcomings, and significant dependence on informal sectors. Nigeria exemplifies the difficulties of divided governance, Kenya showcases the shortcomings of PPP-focused delivery, and South Africa reveals that size by itself does not guarantee fairness. The overall takeaway is that no single approach is adequate; hybrid methods combining public services, private collaborations, and community-led initiatives are crucial

## Conclusion and Policy Implications

The housing issue in Africa involves more than just physical structures; it encompasses dignity, justice, and the right to live in spaces that foster human potential. With cities across the continent growing at unmatched speeds, housing is at the heart of discussions regarding inequality, urban management, and sustainable progress. This chapter has examined the theoretical foundations, empirical experiences, and case studies influencing the continent's housing path, and it now shifts to the consequences for policy and practice.

### Key Findings

The analysis reveals five key findings;
The housing shortfall continues to exist after years of endeavor, as official housing markets still fall short of fulfilling the requirements of most people. Aspirational initiatives have led to noticeable developments but do not meet the necessary scale and cost-effectiveness.

Secondly, informality prevails in African housing systems. Rather than being peripheral, informal settlements accommodate most urban inhabitants, providing adaptable yet unstable arrangements. Incremental building and community funding continue to be the most prevalent approaches.

Third, a noticeable disparity exists between ambition and execution. From Nigeria's fragmented policies to Kenya's struggling Affordable Housing Programme and South Africa's large-scale delivery with restricted equity, the continent's prominent initiatives highlight both advancement and constraints.

Fourth, institutional fragility is a persistent obstacle. Fragmentation, corruption, and redundant mandates hinder delivery and leave out the specific groups that policies intend to assist. In the absence of robust governance and accountability, even well-crafted programs struggle.

Ultimately, the review indicates that resilience and innovation thrive within African housing systems. Microfinance approaches, cooperative frameworks, eco-friendly construction materials, and digital land resources highlight community-based solutions that could expand with appropriate assistance.

### Policy Implications

These findings result in five significant implications for policy and practice;

***Adopt informality as a component of the solution***; Policies ought to assist, not penalize, the countless individuals who develop gradually or live in informal communities. Enhancing, regularizing tenure, and collaborating with local organizations can fortify these systems and render them safer and more secure.

***Broaden the sources of housing funding;*** Most households will find mortgages unattainable. Expanding housing microfinance, cooperative savings programs, and rent-to-own strategies aligns more closely with income situations. These tools need to transition from experimental initiatives to standard financial policy.

***Enhance organizations and collaboration;*** Optimizing duties among national, regional, and local tiers is essential. Transparency and accountability in land management will not only accelerate housing provision but also rebuild trust between governments and the public.

***Reorient housing in strategically located, serviced regions****;* Merely increasing the number of houses won't resolve the crisis if they are located away from jobs and prospects. A renewed focus on spatial justice placing affordable housing close to transit, educational institutions, and jobs would shift housing from a mere shelter initiative to a catalyst for urban equity.

***Amplify innovation and sustainability;*** Innovative practices spanning from fintech solutions to sustainable building technologies require focused investment, policy support, and knowledge-sharing networks to achieve widespread adoption. Affordable housing needs to be connected with climate resilience and sustainability for it to last.

**Towards a Hybrid Housing Strategy**

Africa’s housing emergency defies singular solutions. Neither state-led supply, private sector dominance, nor community self-help alone can address the depth and urgency of demand. What is required is a hybrid housing strategy—one that fuses diverse energies into a cohesive, future-oriented vision. Such a strategy begins with bridging formal and informal systems. For too long, policies have treated incremental builders and informal settlements as obstacles rather than allies. Yet these actors remain the silent engines of urban shelter provision. Recognizing and upgrading their contributions transforms informality into a partner in inclusive growth, ensuring housing remains accessible rather than exclusionary.

Equally critical is a rethinking of public–private partnerships. Too often skewed toward profit, these collaborations must be recalibrated to serve affordability and social responsibility. By aligning public assets with private innovation under transparent frameworks, PPPs can unlock housing that is both livable and accessible, resisting the tide of speculative exclusion.

Finally, sustainability and equity must anchor the hybrid model. Homes should not merely shelter individuals but nurture communities, preserve environmental integrity, and build resilience against climate shocks. Properly situated and thoughtfully designed, housing becomes a cornerstone of dignity and cohesion, not just a commodity.

A hybrid strategy thus reframes housing as a driver of inclusive urbanization and human development. It is pragmatic yet imaginative, equitable yet ambitious—an integrated pathway capable of reshaping Africa's urban future.

**Moving towards the Future**

The future of housing in Africa depends on creativity, teamwork, and flexibility. Authorities need to establish supportive conditions, private entities should align profit with accountability, and communities should be empowered as collaborative creators. Future studies need to explore the lasting effects of improvement initiatives, the influence of digital resources on enhancing tenure security, and the connection between housing and climate resilience.

The housing crisis in the continent is challenging, yet it presents an opportunity. By considering housing as a catalyst for justice, dignity, and sustainability, Africa can reshape its urban landscapes. What is at risk is not merely housing, but the type of cities and communities that will influence the continent in the years ahead.

**References:**


African Development Bank Group, Urban and Municipal Development Fund. (2022). *Promoting affordable housing in African cities.* Abidjan: AfDB Group (UMDF).

African Union Commission. (2015). *Agenda 2063: The Africa we want.* African Union. https://au.int/agenda2063

Ampofo, J. A., Iddrisu, A., Arfasa, G. F., Mantey, I., & Aniah, E. (2024). Causes of informal settlement in Africa: A systematic review. *ADRRI Journal of Contemporary African Development, 1*(1), 1–18. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379445620_Causes_of_Informal_Settlement_in_Africa_A_systematic_review

Centre for Affordable Housing Finance in Africa. (2023). *Housing Finance in Africa Yearbook 2023 [English version promotional leaflet].* African Union for Housing Finance. https://www.auhf.co.za/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/2023-English-Advertising-leaflet-revised-final.pdf

Cytonn Investments. (2023, September 11). *The progress of affordable housing in Kenya.* Cytonn Weekly #36/2023. https://cytonnreport.com/research/the-progress-of-affordable-housing-in-kenya-cytonn-weekly-36-2023

Family Homes Funds. (2021). *Annual report and financial statements.* Abuja, Nigeria.

Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI). (2020). *Building green in Rwanda: The journey so far.* Global Green Growth Institute. https://gggi.org/building-green-in-rwanda-the-journey-so-far

Goodfellow, T., & Özogul, S. (2023). Financializing Africa's urban peripheries: The rise of housing microfinance. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 47*(4), 607–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.13199

Harvey, D. (2012). *Rebel cities: From the right to the city to the urban revolution.* Verso.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2022). *Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability.* Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). (1966). *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.* United Nations. https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-economic-social-and-cultural-rights

Kamana, A., Radoine, H., & Nyasulu, C. (2023). Urban challenges and strategies in African cities: A systematic literature review. *City and Environment Interactions, 21,* Article 100132.

Khan, D. (2023). The political economy of the 'informal' housing question: Institutional-hybridity of the postcolonial state. *Review of International Political Economy, 30*(6), 2052–2068.

Kimhur, B. (2020). *How to apply the capability approach to housing policy? Concepts, theories and challenges.* Delft University of Technology.

Lefebvre, H. (1996). *Writings on cities* (E. Kofman & E. Lebas, Trans.). Blackwell. (Original work published 1968)

Lemanski, C. (2020). Infrastructural citizenship: The everyday citizenships of adapting and/or destroying public infrastructure in Cape Town, South Africa. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 45*(3), 589–605. https://doi.org/10.1111/tran.12370

Olotuah, A. O., & Bobadoye, S. A. (2022). Institutional fragmentation and the failure of housing policies in Nigeria. *Habitat International, 121,* 102521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2022.102521

Opoko, A. P., Oluwatayo, A. A., Amole, B., & Adeyemi, E. (2020). How different actors shape the real estate market for informal settlements in Lagos. *Environment and Urbanization.* Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247820917882

Owotemu, A., Daniel, C., & Abubakar, H. (2022). Evaluating the management of public–private partnerships for the provision of affordable housing in Nigeria. *Journal of Service Science and Management, 15,* 392–415. https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2022.154024

Pieterse, E., & Simone, A. (2020). *New urban worlds: Inhabiting dissonant times.* Polity Press.

Qumbisa, N., Emuze, F. A., & Smallwood, J. J. (2024). From perceptions by beneficiaries, can homelessness be reduced through self-help housing in the Central Region of South Africa? *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities, 6,* Article 1468668. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2024.1468668

Rust, K. (2024, March). Housing Africa's growing population. *Finance & Development*

*(IMF).* https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2024/03/housing-africa-growing-population-rust

Scheba, A., & Turok, I. (2020). Informal rental housing in the South: Dynamic but neglected. *Environment and Urbanization, 32*(1), 109–132.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom.* Oxford University Press.

The Conversation. (2021, May 19). Kenya's push for affordable housing is creating opportunities despite barriers. *The Conversation.* https://theconversation.com/kenyas-push-for-affordable-housing-is-creating-opportunities-despite-barriers-183553

Turner, J. F. C. (1976). *Housing by people: Towards autonomy in building environments.* Marion Boyars.

UN-Habitat. (2014). *The Ethiopia housing development programme: Building houses, creating jobs.* United Nations Human Settlements Programme.

UN-Habitat. (2020). *World cities report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization.* United Nations Human Settlements Programme. https://unhabitat.org/wcr/

UN-Habitat. (2022). *World cities report 2022: Envisaging the future of cities.* United Nations Human Settlements Programme. https://unhabitat.org/wcr/

United Cities and Local Governments (UCLG). (2019). *GOLD V report: The localization of the global agendas.* UCLG. https://www.gold.uclg.org/reports/gold-v

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA). (2022). *World population prospects 2022.* United Nations. https://population.un.org/wpp

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.* United Nations. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

World Bank. (2021). *Housing finance in Africa: Building inclusive mortgage markets.* World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2022). *Unlocking green housing finance: Key drivers for scaling green affordable housing in emerging markets.* World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2023). *Unlocking housing finance in Africa: Expanding access and affordability.* World Bank.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). *Housing and health guidelines.* WHO. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550376

World Health Organization. (2022). *Global report on health equity for persons with disabilities.* World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240063600

Young, G. (2021). Neoliberalism and the state in the African city: Informality, accumulation and the rebirth of a Ugandan market. *Critical African Studies, 13*(3), 305–320.